

Unfolding Expressions for Gradual Verification

Hazel Torek, Long Tien Nguyen, Jonathan Aldrich



Motivation

- When formally verifying a program, functions must be annotated with:
 - preconditions**: true **before** the function
 - postconditions**: must be proven true **after** the function finishes
 - For better modularity, conditions can include **predicates** to **group** and **name** facts
- With static formal verification, programs must to be fully specified → **large upfront cost** for developers

Gradual verification allows developers to write **partially complete** specifications, which improves usability.

Research Objective

- Unfolding expressions** temporarily open a predicate instance to access the facts inside. These allow writing more modular specifications.
- Our goal is to:
 - Formalize** the behavior of unfolding expressions.
 - Update the proof of soundness** for the verifier to include unfolding expressions.
- Our proof of soundness will be the first to include unfolding expressions and apply to **both static and gradual verifiers**.

```
struct Account { int balance; };
struct Withdrawal { int amount; };
```

The **predicate definition** `greaterOrEqual` groups facts which represent that the balance in the account is greater than the withdrawal.

```
/*@ predicate greaterOrEqual(struct Account* a,
                             struct Withdrawal* w) =
    acc(a->balance) && acc(w->amount) &&
    a->balance >= w->amount && w->amount >= 0; */
```

The **accessibility predicates** check that the fields `a->balance` and `w->amount` can be accessed before using their values.

The **imprecise specification**, written with `?`, allows the developer to write partially complete specifications that are filled in by the verifier.

```
void deductOne(struct Account* a,
               struct Withdrawal* w)
/*@ requires ? && unfolding greaterOrEqual(a, w) in
    (w->amount == 1);
    ensures greaterOrEqual(a, w) &&
    unfolding greaterOrEqual(a, w) in
    (a->balance >= 1); */
{ ... }
```

The **unfolding expression** is used to open the predicate `greaterOrEqual(a, w)` and use the relevant facts to show `a->balance >= 1`.

Selected Symbolic Evaluation Semantics

SEVALUNFOLDINGPRECISEA

$$\frac{\neg u(\sigma_1) \quad \text{predicate}(p) \text{ is precise} \quad p \notin \mathcal{V}(\sigma_1) \quad \sigma_1 \vdash e \Downarrow t \vdash \sigma_2, \mathcal{R}_1}{\sigma_2 \vdash p(\bar{e}) \triangleright \sigma_3, \mathcal{R}_2} \quad \bar{x} = \text{predicate_params}(p)$$
$$\frac{\sigma_3[y = \gamma(\sigma_3)[\bar{x} \mapsto \bar{t}], \mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}(\sigma_3) \cup \{p\}] \vdash \text{predicate}(p) \triangleleft \sigma_4 \quad \sigma_4[y = \gamma(\sigma_3)] \vdash e_0 \Downarrow t_0 \vdash \sigma_5, \mathcal{R}_3}{\mathcal{H}' = \mathcal{H}(\sigma_2) \cup \mathcal{H}(\sigma_5) \quad \sigma_6 = \sigma_5[H = H(\sigma_2), \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}']} \quad \sigma_1 \vdash \text{unfolding } p(\bar{e}) \text{ in } e_0 \Downarrow t_0 \vdash \sigma_6, \mathcal{R}_1 \cup \mathcal{R}_2 \cup \mathcal{R}_3$$

Dynamic Semantics

$$\frac{\text{EVALUNFOLDING} \quad \langle H, \rho \rangle \vdash e_0 \Downarrow v}{\langle H, \rho \rangle \vdash \text{unfolding } p(\bar{e}) \text{ in } e_0 \Downarrow v}$$
$$\frac{\text{FRAMEUNFOLDING} \quad \langle H, \alpha, \rho \rangle \vdash_{\text{frmI}} p(\bar{e}) \quad \langle H, \alpha, \rho \rangle \models p(\bar{e}) \quad \langle H, \alpha, \rho \rangle \vdash_{\text{frm}} e_0}{\langle H, \alpha, \rho \rangle \vdash_{\text{frm}} \text{unfolding } p(\bar{e}) \text{ in } e_0} \quad \llbracket \text{unfolding } p(\bar{e}) \text{ in } e_0 \rrbracket_{\langle H, \rho \rangle} = \llbracket p(\bar{e}) \rrbracket_{\langle H, \rho \rangle} \cup \llbracket e_0 \rrbracket_{\langle H, \rho \rangle}$$

Soundness

Soundness means that the verifier only accepts programs which meet their specifications.

- We need to expand the proof of soundness to include unfoldings.

Future Work

- There are many features to implement and formalize in gradual verification, such as quantified specifications and pure functions.
- We will also continue to update the proof of soundness.